

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/617,518	FRITZEMEIER ET AL.
	Examiner Jeremy C. Norris	Art Unit 2827

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Kammie Cuneo. (3) _____.
 (2) Ms. Smith. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms Smith informed Ex Cuneo that a Notice of Allowance had not been received. Ex Cuneo noted that the NoA was held up by incoming amendments. Ex Cuneo assured that an Office Action considering the amendments would be issued swiftly..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



KAMAND CUNEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required